

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/751,585

Applicant(s)

SUNDERMANN ET AL.

Examiner

Brian J. Davis

Art Unit

1621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to applicant's amendment (1/3/06).
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-19 and 22-36 [renumbered 1-34].
3. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date <u>attached</u> . |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____. |

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Mosoff on 1/19/06.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 5, the clause “, preferably, identical or different, denote a C₁₋₂ alkyl group” has been deleted.

Claim Objections Withdrawn

The objection to claim 13, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment corrects the claim text as appropriate.

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment and the examiner's amendment above. With respect to claim 1, applicant's arguments have

Art Unit: 1621

been carefully considered and are persuasive. The examiner is now in agreement with applicant that the definitions of X, Y and Z are clear in their entirety. With respect to claims 4, 5, 7 and 13, the "preferably" clause has been deleted. With respect to claim 14, applicant's arguments have been carefully considered and are persuasive. The examiner is now in agreement with applicant that the term "auxiliary substances" is not indefinite. With respect to claim 30, the amendment reformulates the claim as a method claim. With respect to the remaining claims, the rejection is now moot. Claims 20 and 21 have been canceled.

101 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claim 30 under 35 USC 101, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment reformulates the claim as a method claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-19 and 22-36 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art appears to be US 5,811,582, cited by applicant in the IDS, which teaches compounds structurally related to those of the instant invention (column 2 line 1; examples). The cited prior art neither teaches nor suggests the instant compounds, however. Nor would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1621

at the time of invention to modify the compounds of the prior art in order to arrive at those of the instant invention. There is no motivation to do so.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,585

Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

 **BRIAN DAVIS**
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brian J. Davis

January 19, 2006